

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/741,510	12/19/2003	Guruprashanth A. Bellipady	33692.03.3632	4449
23418 7590 06/22/2007 VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ 222 N. LASALLE STREET			EXAMINER	
			CHAI, LONGBIT	
CHICAGO, IL 60601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2131	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			06/22/2007	. PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/741,510 BELLIPADY ET AL.					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Longbit Chai	2131				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Longbit Chai.	(3)					
(2) Christ J. Reckamp.	(4)	•				
Date of Interview: <u>19 June 2007</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,10,18 and 22</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: 2005/0135268 and 6,044,462.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□	N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

As per claim 1, 10, 18 and 22, Applicant asserts that the finality of the rejections should be withdrawn because the FINAL Office action has changed ground of rejection. Examiner disagrees because (a) the same references of Simon and Zubeldia are still kept and used without introducing any additional prior-art beyond the Non-Final Office action submitted on 11/17/2006 (b) It is also Applicant's responsibility to understand the entire teaching of prior-arts (not just the cited portions by Examiner in the Office action) (c) Examiner notes a "digital certificate revocation notification" is a data object (or a data entity) and thereby receiving a certificate revocation notification is quite different from the certificate revocation notification itself (for example - "receiving a book / a ticket" is quite different from "what is the book / the ticket itself").

Accordingly, Simon teaches "receiving a certificate revocation notification" does not mean Simon teaches the "certificate revocation notification" and as such there is no conflicts between the grounds of rejections that was argued by Applicants with regard to the wording of Non-Final (Page 2 / Last Para) and Final Office actions (Page 5 / Last 2nd Para). Examiner requests the Applicant to submit the formal written response according to the USPTO procedures and it will be taken from there for further decisions: